To Faculty Council Members: Your critical study of these minutes is requested. If you find errors, please call, send a memorandum, or E-mail immediately to Rita Knoll, ext 1-5693.

NOTE: Final revisions are noted in the following manner: additions underlined; deletions over scored.

MINUTES Faculty Council Meeting October 2, 2018 – 4:00 p.m. – Plant Sciences – Room C101

CALL TO ORDER

The Faculty Council meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m.by Tim Gallagher, Chair.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Next Faculty Council Meeting – November 6, 2018 – Plant Sciences Building – Room C101 – 4:00 p.m.

Gallagher announced that the Faculty Council meeting would be held on November 6, 2018 at 4:00 p.m. – Plant Sciences Building, Room C101. Gallagher has a microphone if needed; sometimes faculty could not hear each other, but could hear Gallagher just fine.

2. President's Fall Address and University Picnic – October 3, 2018 – on the Oval – 11:30 – 1:00 p.m.

Gallagher reminded faculty of the President's Fall Address tomorrow.

Executive Committee Meeting Minutes located on FC website –
 August 21, 2018; September 11, 2018
 (http://facultycouncil.colostate.edu/faculty-council-meeting-dates-agendas-minutes/)

Gallagher announced that the Executive Committee Meeting Minutes are posted on the FC website.

MINUTES TO BE APPROVED

1. Faculty Council Meeting Minutes – September 4, 2018

Gallagher asked for any corrections or additions.

Silvia Canetto and Antonio Pedros-Gascon sent amendments via email.

Faculty Council approved the amended FC meeting minutes by unanimous consent. The amended minutes will be placed on the FC website.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Faculty Council Standing Committee Elections – Committee on Faculty Governance

Gallagher also had a carry-in ballot that was shown on the overhead.

Sue Doe moved, on behalf of the Committee on Faculty Governance that the following faculty be elected:

BALLOT

Academic Faculty Nominations to Faculty Council Standing Committees October 2, 2018

COMMITTEE ON FACULTY GOVERNANCE

COMMITTEE ON FACULTIV	JOVERNANCE	Term Expires
EMILY MOORE (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	CLA	2021
ALLISON LEVEL (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	Libraries	2021
COMMITTEE ON INTERCOLLEG	GIATE ATHLETICS	
CHRISTIAN PUTTLITZ (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	СоЕ	2020
COMMITTEE ON NON-TENURE TRACK FACULTY		
DENISE APODACA (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	CLA	2021
COMMITTEE ON RESPONSIBILITIES AND STANDING OF ACADEMIC FACULTY		
MARK SHELSTAD (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	Libraries	2021
DAVE MUSHINSKI (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	CLA	2021
COMMITTEE ON SCHOLASTI STEVE SIMSKE (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance)	IC STANDARDS CoE	2021

COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC AND FINANCIAL PLANNING

MARTIN SHIELDS CLA 2021 (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance) **COMMITTEE ON TEACHING AND LEARNING** TONY BECKER_ 2021 **CLA** (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance) "Carry-in" COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC AND FINANCIAL PLANNING MATT MALCOLM HHS 2021 (Nominated by Committee on Faculty Governance) Gallagher asked for any additional nominations from the floor. There were none. All FC Standing Committee nominees were unanimously approved. 2. University Grievance Panel Elections – Committee on Faculty Governance Gallagher also had a carry-in ballot that was shown on the overhead.

BALLOT UNIVERSITY GRIEVANCE PANEL Academic Faculty Positions on University Grievance Panel October 2, 2018

the following faculty be elected:

Sue Doe moved, on behalf of the Committee on Faculty Governance, that

Nominee		Term ending
DEREK JOHNSTON	СоВ	2019
(Nominated – Committee on Faculty Governance)		
CHARLES BUTLER	CoB	2019
(Nominated – Committee on Faculty Governance)		

Page 4 - Faculty Council Meeting Minutes
October 2, 2018

GINA MOHR	CoB	2020	
(Nominated – Committee on Faculty Governance)			
ELIZABETH PILON-SMITS	CNS	2020	
(Nominated – Committee on Faculty Governance)			
"Carry-in"			
ROSS McCONNELL	CNS	2019	
(Nominated Committee on Faculty Governance)			

(Nominated – Committee on Faculty Governance)

Gallagher asked for any additional nominations from the floor. There were none.

All University Grievance Panel nominees were unanimously approved.

3. Faculty Council Standing Committee Elections – Graduate Student Representatives – CoFG

Sue Doe moved, on behalf of the Committee on Faculty Governance, for the following graduate student representatives to be elected:

BALLOT October 2, 2018 Graduate Student Positions on Faculty Council Standing Committees (One-Year Term)

(0-10-0-10-0-10-0-10-0-10-0-10-0-10-0-1	,	Term Expires
<u>Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics</u> Savannah Hobbs	Graduate student	2019
Committee on Libraries Eric Knutson	Graduate student	2019
Committee on Scholarship, Research, and Graduat Reza Ameri	e Education Graduate student	2019
Committee on Strategic and Financial Planning Arnold Paecklar	Graduate student	2019
Committee on Teaching and Learning Brian Mitchell	Graduate student	2019

Page 5 - Faculty Council Meeting Minutes October 2, 2018

Committee on University Programs

Ryan Czarny Graduate student 2019

University Curriculum Committee

Kevin Jablonski Graduate student 2019

Faculty Council unanimously approved the graduate student nominees.

4. Faculty Council Standing Committee Elections – Undergraduate Student Representatives – CoFG

Sue Doe moved, on behalf of the CoFG, to approve the following undergraduate student representatives:

BALLOT

October 2, 2018

Undergraduate Student Positions on Faculty Council Standing Committees (One-Year Term)

Term Expires

Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics

Kanayo Okolo Undergraduate 2019

Committee on Strategic and Financial Planning

Nick Bohn Undergraduate 2019

Committee on Teaching and Learning

Zachary Vaishampayan Undergraduate 2019

Faculty Council unanimously approved the undergraduate student nominees.

REPORTS TO BE RECEIVED

1. President – Tony Frank

Frank reported on the following:

Welcome! Frank had a report he was going to give, but will just touch on key points since he assumes there would be questions about the upcoming presidential search. Record enrollment numbers. 375 million in research expenditures. Should have some very good news about the campaign. There are a lot of things that are happening in this institution due to you and your colleagues.

We don't have much to state about the budget, but the Governor will submit his budget in November. The incoming Governor will likely rewrite the process. Candidates' focus has so far been K-12 but conventional wisdom is that the next budget will be in line with recent ones.

The Board will determine the presidential search processes but Frank states a few basics. Miranda and Frank will take comments forward and Lenk will as well as the BOG faculty representative.

This will be a national search with a nationally known search firm conducting it. They are looking at pre-qualifying firms and the Board will look these over. The timeline is not carved in stone. It will be adjusted by the search committee and search firm dates they'd like to see-advertisement before the holidays, electronic form first cut in January, airport interviews in February, then either an open search contest or closed. Three to four finalists in an open search with interaction over a two to three day period. The Board would select and negotiate, and finalize in the March-April timeframe. Early to mid-April an announcement, followed by starting in the summer. Three to four semi-finalists, in a closed process, followed by the Board's decision for the finalist, and then an open comment period prior to making the final decision in accord with Colorado statutes.

There are many sitting presidents who are unwilling to be open process candidates, due to the exposure they have in this context. People may enter a pool in an open search with the understanding that they might drop out further along if it's an open search. This has led to more closed search processes, which has the advantage of more candidates but the disadvantage of putting tremendous pressure on the search committee to be representative. Closed searches require much more involvement/participation by constituencies in the early stages of the search process to establish goals. There will likely be a lot of discussion about the two search processes. Frank encourages Faculty to weigh in. Frank invites questions.

Mary Meyer (CNS): If there is a closed search, how are faculty members on the search committee selected?

Frank: Typically the BOG invites faculty. Frank will recommend having one member of Faculty Council on the search committee, one NTTF, one research faculty member, and perhaps a UDP.

Antonio Pedros-Gascon (CLA At-Large): Asks about the 2016 Climate Assessment Report. Pedros-Gascon refers to page 3 of the Climate Assessment Report, which has to do with transparency and responsiveness of administration to the climate issues and negative behaviors. What has been done to address the deficiencies?

Frank: There is a lot in that question. In brief, I would say that we have tried in a variety of ways to improve the perceptions and transparency in response to negative behaviors, such as supervisor training, the bullying policy, and review of administrators with climate surveys. What I can do is respond to the perceptions to help more people feel comfortable with the direction we are going.

Miranda: Before reappointments of deans, there is a survey done to assess how things are going. There is also a faculty Ombuds.

Silvia Canetto (CNS): Is there any evidence to suggest improvement to the issues raised?

Miranda: At each individual training session, participants fill out an assessment of the training. This information is intended to create continuous improvement.

Silvia Canetto (CNS): That would be a satisfaction survey, not data about actual changes in climate.

Lisa Langstraat (CLA At-Large): The quality and effect of faculty training is important to document to obtain information that goes beyond privatized feedback.

Frank: I want to close with a thank you to all of you. In my earlier years, I worked primarily with CoSRGE and CUP as VPR and then Executive Committee as the Provost. I want to thank you all for the time you put in. This council has demonstrated your commitment to the institution as demonstrated through commitment to shared governance. I value your comments and they have come through loudly and clearly. I am asking for three things from faculty for the new President: 1) Grace to grow and work through challenging issues; 2) Your expectation for a healthy shared governance process; and, 3) Some level of impatience for improvement and change.

Frank's report was received.

2. Provost/Executive Vice President – Rick Miranda

Miranda reported on the following

At the last meeting, Miranda was asked for bullying statistics. Addresses the bullying policy and data request. The Provost learned that HR keeps the information, and Diana Prieto shared the statistics that the Provost then discussed such as: There have been 12 complaints--8 by females and 4 by males. Further breakdown of gender components of the complaints were delineated by Miranda. This is what we learned from HR about the bullying activity.

Joseph DiVerdi (Chair, CoSFP): From what groups of the university did these groups come from?

Miranda: We were not given that information.

Joe Cannon (CoB): How do we define bullying?

Miranda: It is defined in the bullying policy. Let's discuss that definition at a later time after the definition has been reviewed.

Marius Lehene (Art): We would like to know more what's been provided thus far.

Miranda: I can look into additional demographic information, although we did not request that data.

Miranda: Enrollment is up. Census is completed. Expected increases of 300+ students are actual. The first few weeks were a bit wild given the sudden late increase but everyone has now been accommodated.

Regarding the budget: enrollment increases have a good effect. On Thursday and Friday of this week they will present a somewhat more precise budget to the Board of Governors. Tuition revenue drawn from enrollment this year is a key factor. Since we know enrollment has increased, there's an \$11 million revenue impact. Got a bit more detail on the expense side. Will present three scenarios to the Board of Governors. Undergraduate resident tuition will be reviewed at 1%, 3%, and 5% increases and will be addressed in light of various potential state appropriations. 3%, 4%, and 5% salary increases are also part of the scenario planning. The BOG does not expect a balanced budget in October because numbers change throughout the year, but they expect us

to convert it slowly to a balanced budget proposal for July 1. The BOG could request additional scenarios. Miranda will continue to refine the budget for the December and February meetings.

Questions:

Antonio Pedros-Gascon (CLA At-Large): How do we compare with the University of Colorado tuition? Are we on par, less, higher?

Miranda: Their tuition is higher. Miranda explains that, among other things, differential tuition is paid from the start by students in varying majors like engineering at CU.

Miranda moved on to information regarding INTO. Presented on overhead. Miranda requested that Fabiola Ehlers-Zavala (Director of INTO) provide a report, so Miranda will explain, but invites Fabiola's input. Miranda then discussed the report. INTO is not the only entity that supports international students--for instance, the Office of Admissions and Office of International Programs participate. INTO does recruiting and the Pathways Program. There are many activities of the INTO Program.

Thaddeus Sunseri (History): Is there a breakdown of where students come from?

Miranda: Almost 3000 students brought in INTO CSU and other entities have moved the international student numbers from just 4% of the student population to 7%. Students can be directly admitted or go through the Pathways Program. If you're successful in the Pathways Program, then you matriculate into admission to the University. 600+ students have thus far successfully matriculated, resulting in \$80-90 million in revenue.

The growth we have experienced from 2% to 4% to 7% but have been flat in the last few years. The challenge associated with a holding or eroding international student involves many factors. We have almost 2K international students now. Biggest are China, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, etc.

Demographics in CSU INTO Center number about 250. Few over 25 years of age--60% male. Most are not sponsored by their government. Those who are have additional responsibilities associated. 77 are in graduate pathways looking to get a masters.

How are the students doing? The rule of thumb has been that the matriculation is pretty consistent with our general retention rate—mid 80s (80%). 86% INTO CSU students are retained and last year was even

better—into the 90's. Once they matriculate they don't have to stay at CSU. 272 have graduated. GPA is 3.0 and grad students 3.6. Miranda refers to other student success metrics.

We regularly survey students about their level of satisfaction and their satisfaction is pretty good with housing being the weakest indicator. We pair international students with a domestic student. We have won an award acknowledging this approach.

Miranda then refers to the revenues, including rent that INTO USA pays to CSU. We are owed some money due to some deferral of rent. As the JB makes profits, they will pay us back. We barely break even with the Pathways Program but we do very well with the students who matriculate. There are discussions of how to increase enrollments due to the financial performance of the JB portion but not the program as a whole.

Survey of faculty is next. Fabiola is putting it together this fall.

Margarita Lenk (Faculty representative to BOG): Asked Miranda about the graduation rate. Are there 6-year graduation goals for international students as there are for domestic students?

Miranda indicated that those students who are matriculating have not all graduated.

Marius Lehne (Art): It might be more efficient to have a direct presence in the countries such as China and Saudi Arabia since they represent such a large proportion of the international student body.

Miranda: We do have such a presence. The China program has a marque. They also oversee an office in Shanghai, then our own INTO office here.

Moti Gorin (Philosophy): About Semester at Sea. When will we know about extending the contract past the current one?

Miranda: We have completed two years of a five-year contract. The third, fourth, and fifth year voyages are coming. SAS is going very well for us. Providing a lot of interest for a lot of faculty to be on the ship. We are also seeing a big uptick in students that are taking advantage of the voyages. We think it is a very exciting education abroad opportunity for students and staff. We won't negotiate a renewal until at least the fourth year.

Miranda's report was received.

3. Faculty Council Chair – Tim Gallagher

Gallagher reported on the following:

Gallagher: Campus Climate Survey opened today. When the survey was done two years ago, it had an 18.7% faculty participation, which was not good. I am encouraging faculty to stay after the end of the meeting, for those of you that have time, to complete the survey before you leave.

Gallagher noted that the Provost already addressed the bullying data so Gallagher won't discuss again.

Gallagher has been asked to urge someone from Faculty Council, or an academic faculty to be the chairperson for the student conduct process. Experience is needed for facilitating students and staff. Training is 3-5 hours. If you are interested, or know of someone, please let us know.

Information regarding questions brought up by Antonio Pedros-Gascon at last month's FC meeting re: recording FC meetings. Gallagher referred to prior Executive Committee meeting minutes. It was the consensus of EC that it is something that we don't want to do. Our parliamentarian was contacted and stated that Robert's Rules of Order states that you should not do this. This was persuasive to the Executive Committee.

There was unhappiness about the bullying policy and not making amendments to it. There was unhappiness when the bullying policy was presented to Faculty Council, and Faculty Council was asked whether to approve/not approve--not whether to make changes to it. The parliamentarian indicated that it is not germane to the motion and would be out of order. With that said, Gallagher indicated his support of what Antonio Pedros-Gascon wished to see. Gallagher read the CoRSAF motion and talked about how he had vocally addressed the initial bullying policy, which was seen to be deeply flawed. Administration said "we are going to do it so you can put it into the *Manual* or not." Putting it into the *Manual* is better than not because changes can be made to *Manual* language. If this had not gone into the *Manual*, there would be no mechanism for revising it.

Antonio Pedros-Gascon (CLA At-Large): I also requested evaluating the president alphanumerically, and the president said he would be okay with this.

Gallagher: I did bring this to EC and there was no specific action taken.

Gallagher's report was received.

4. Board of Governors Faculty Representative – Margarita Lenk

Lenk reported on the following:

This Thursday through Friday is the BOG meeting. We have requested a survey of faculty and one of the students of the INTO Program.

Kelly Long (Vice Provost for Undergraduate Affairs): Points out that students may not be aware of differences between an international student and an INTO student, so a survey of students would need to be mindful of this.

Lenk's report was received.

- 5. Faculty Council Standing Committee 2017-18 Annual Reports
 - a. Committee on Libraries

The written report was received and unanimously approved.

- 6. Neighbor to Neighbor
 - -Deborah Mayer, Housing Solutions Coordinator
 - -Emma Chavez, CARE Program Coordinator

Deborah Mayer is with "Neighbor to Neighbor" and is here to introduce herself and why it is on the CSU campus. They are located in the Off-Campus Life office in LSC. This program has collaborated with CSU for several years. Spectrum of services regarding housing. Many facets to the program. Brings expertise to campus with the goal of meeting questions posed by a housing task force. Affordable housing or lack thereof –finding housing for faculty and staff in Larimer County. Works directly with faculty and staff, one on one. She does not turn away students but sends them to resources that are more appropriate for them. The hour meetings are exclusively with faculty and staff. Housing stability and economic health are the objectives. Within the rental world, she looks at budgets, and they seek a target rent. Market rate or subsidized housing. Money that is available to staff and faculty within a small bucket of \$500. Lease review is required for participation in this. Landlordtenant basics. Financial assistance is also available for those experiencing a particular difficulty. Does not provide legal advice but provides resources and contacts. Liaison also for home ownership education and

counseling realm. Where are people on the journey toward home ownership?

Home share is another service that she can discuss with people. She matches people, homeowners with others seeking affordable housing. This can sometimes be seniors or others. Housingmatch.com. She is the coordinator and drafts the living arrangements for people. She offered flyers for anyone who was interested (placed on table in back of classroom).

Linda Meyer (Libraries). The owners are 55+, do the renters need to be also?

Mayer: Home seekers can be any age

CONSENT AGENDA

1. UCC meeting minutes – August 24 and 31, 2018; September 7 and 14, 2018

Brad Goetz, Chair, UCC, moves for the approval of the consent agenda.

The Consent Agenda was unanimously approved.

ACTION ITEMS

1. Proposed revisions to Section C.2.1.9.3 – C.2.1.9.6 Membership and Organization of the *Academic Faculty and Administrative Professional Manual* - CoFG

Steve Reising, Vice Chair, CoFG spoke regarding the proposal.

Reising explains that with the approval of NTTF types that passed in April, there was a desire to include the correct appointment types and also there were inconsistencies in the ways the ex-officio members of committees were treated in the *Manual*. Finally, CoFG reordered where members of the committee were located in the language.

Jenny Morse (Chair, CoNTTF): Requests an amendment to this language. She explains that a category of "other" would be represented by the CoNTTF committee. Under 1 and 2--under contract, continuing, and adjunct, add a comma and "other non-tenure-track faculty."

Steve Reising (CoFG Vice Chair): The Committee on Faculty Governance supports this amendment to the language under 1 and 2.

Brad Conner (CNS At-Large): Asks about inclusion of the category of adjunct in some locations but not others.

Morse explains that the adjunct category is left out in locations where there is an indication of participation in shared governance.

Gallagher asks for a vote. The amendment is now on the floor.

Karen Barrett (HHS): Why isn't there any indication of NTTF serving on anything else other than specialized committees?

Steve Reising (CoFG Vice Chair): We are not ruling out that possibility but taking changes incrementally. This is under discussion.

Jenny Morse (Chair, CoNTTF): We have some NTTF serving on various committees in ex-officio roles already.

Gallagher: All in favor of approving the amended motion?

Faculty Council unanimously approved the motion.

2. Proposed revisions to the Preface and Section H of the *Academic Faculty* and *Administrative Professional Manual* – CoRSAF

Marie Legare, Chair of CoRSAF, spoke regarding the proposal. Requests changes to the Preface of the *Manual* to shift the language away from the language that gave Administrative Professionals the veto power.

Gallagher: This change was approved by the Administrative Professional Council before coming to the floor of Faculty Council. Section H lays out extensive discussion that will occur with the Admin Pro Council prior to votes. There have been a lot of negotiations between faculty and the Admin Pro Council. This motion from CoRSAF is here for your consideration. Amending the preface to undo the veto and substitute the wording in Section H. We will populate Section H with the new verbiage that affects both faculty and APs. That is why these two things have come together.

Antonio Pedros-Gascon (CLA At-Large): Regarding Section H.1 General Expectations--c and d. In these two sections (c and d) it says "generally" expected. Could c and d be amended to read: It is expected instead of it is generally expected. The word "generally" allows too much wiggle room. Pedros-Gascon moved to amend the motion by removing the word generally.

Silvia Canetto (CNS): Seconded the motion.

Gallagher: The motion to amend is on the floor for discussion.

Richard Eykholt (UGO): Faculty Council inadvertently passed a motion that gave APC veto power. The term "generally" was crucial for APC approval of this proposal. It was not a small thing for APC to give up their veto power so they probably won't. The president will then have to go to the attorneys to resolve. I just want you to understand the consequence.

Shannon Wagner (APC Chair): The "generally expected" was what we read as well. I think if the amended motion goes through today, my guess is that it will and we could address this at our upcoming meeting on Monday.

Doug Cloud (English): Can we pass this unamended tonight and then amend in one month?

Gallagher: Yes, it is possible.

Joseph DiVerdi (Chair, CoSFP): Why is this the case?

Richard Eykholt (UGO): If we amend it in one month, it still has to go to the AP Council, which will then go forward to the Board. Section H lays out a process for getting APC agreement.

Gallagher: To clarify: If this body were to approve this motion without any amendment, it still has to go to the Board, but then we can make amendments.

Mary Meyer (CNS): I am having a hard time understanding the difference with "generally" expected and the absence of it.

Brad Conner (CNS At-Large): Asked for clarification about the process.

Doug Cloud (English): If we are eliminating the veto, why would it need to be re-visited?

Richard Eykholt (UGO): Section H new language is what we have always done. This new process returns us to those processes. The problem we are trying to fix is that an ill thought of change was made that required that we stop, send it to APC, await their approval, and then the Board meets in

Page 16 - Faculty Council Meeting Minutes October 2, 2018

December. So, if we approve it today, we can't amend until they meet at the *next* Board meeting.

Antonio Pedros-Gascon (CLA At-Large): How often does Executive Committee deal with questions that are important to the APC?

Doug Cloud (English): Calls the question.

Gallagher: All in favor of stopping debate, please stand. It takes 2/3 vote to call the question.

The motion to call the question was approved.

Antonio Pedros-Gascon (CLA At-Large): Restated his amendment motion.

Pedros-Gascon's motion to amend the proposal did not pass.

The original motion without amendments was approved unanimously.

3. Proposed revisions to Section E.13 Advancement in Rank (Promotion) of the *Academic Faculty and Administrative Professional Manual* – CoRSAF

This item has been pulled. Had discussions in EC and the deans needed time to talk with department chairs. Will be on November agenda.

DISCUSSION

1. None

Gallagher adjourned the meeting at 5:57 p.m.

Tim Gallagher, Chair Sue Doe, Vice Chair Rita Knoll, Executive Assistant to Faculty Council

ATTENDANCE BOLD INDICATES PRESENT AT MEETING UNDERLINE INDICATES ABSENT AT MEETING

ELECTED MEMBERS	REPRESENTING	TERM
Agricultural Sciences		
Stephan Kroll	Agricultural and Resource Economics	2019
Jason Bruemmer	Animal Sciences	2021
Cynthia (Cini) Brown	Bioagricultural Sciences & Pest Management	2021
Adam Heuberger	Horticulture & Landscape Architecture	2019
Thomas Borch	Soil and Crop Sciences	2020
Jane Choi	College-at-Large	2019
Ruth Hufbauer	College-at-Large	2020
Bradley Goetz	College-at-Large	2019
Health and Human Sciences		
Nancy Miller	Design and Merchandising	2021
Brian Tracy	Health and Exercise Science	2021
(Raoul Reiser starts term January 20)	19)	
David Sampson	Food Science and Human Nutrition	2019
Karen Barrett	Human Development and Family Studies	2020
Bolivar Senior	Construction Management	2020
Anita Bundy	Occupational Therapy	2020
(substituting for Matt Malcolm)		
Thomas Chermack	School of Education	2021
Anne Williford	School of Social Work	2019
Business		
Bill Rankin	Accounting	2019
Stephen Hayne	Computer Information Systems	2021
John Elder	Finance and Real Estate	2019
(substituting for Tianyang Wang – Fa	ll '18 sabbatical)	
Dawn DeTienne	Management	2021
Kathleen Kelly	Marketing	2021
Joe Cannon	College-at-Large	2019
John Hoxmeier	College-at-Large	2019
Engineering		
Kristen Rasmussen	Atmospheric Science	2021
<u>Travis Bailey</u>	Chemical and Biological Engineering	2019
Peter Nelson	Civil and Environmental Engineering	2021
Ali Pezeshki (substituting for	Electrical and Computer Engineering	2019
Siddharth Suryanarayanan Fall '18 sabbatical)		
Shantanu Jathar	Mechanical Engineering	2020
J. Rockey Luo	College-at-Large	2019
Steven Reising	College-at-Large	2019
Jason Quinn	College-at-Large	2021

Page 18 - Faculty Council Meeting Minutes October 2, 2018

Liberal Arts		
Michael Pante	Anthropology	2020
Marius Lehene	Art	2019
Julia Khrebtan-Horhager	Communication Studies	2019
Ramaa Vasudevan	Economics	2020
Doug Cloud	English	2020
Albert Bimper	Ethnic Studies	2019
Jonathan Carlyon	Languages, Literatures and Cultures	2019
Thaddeus Sunseri	History	2020
Gayathri (Gaya) Sivakumar	Journalism and Technical Communication	2020
Wesley Ferreira	Music, Theater, and Dance	2019
Moti Gorin	Philosophy	2019
Peter Harris	Political Science	2021
Ken Berry	Sociology	2019
(substituting for Tara Opsal – Fall '18	e.	
Antonio Pedros-Gascon	College-at-Large	2019
Steve Shulman	College-at-Large	2020
Allison Prasch	College-at-Large	2020
Lisa Langstraat	College-at-Large	2020
Marcela Velasco	College-at-Large	2021
Del Harrow	College-at-Large	2021
Maura Velazquez-Castillo	College-at-Large	2021
-		
Natural Resources		
Monique Rocca	Ecosystem Science and Sustainability	2020
David Koons	Fish, Wildlife, & Conservation Biology	2021
Chad Hoffman	Forest and Rangeland Stewardship	2020
Bill Sanford	Geosciences	2020
Tara Teel	HDNR in Warner College	2020
Natural Sciences		
Jennifer Nyborg	Biochemistry and Molecular Biology	2019
Melinda Smith	Biology	2019
George Barisas	Chemistry	2021
Ross McConnell	Computer Science	2019
Yongcheng Zhou	Mathematics	2019
Dylan Yost	Physics	2020
Silvia Canetto	Psychology	2021
Mary Meyer	Statistics	2019
Chuck Anderson	College-at-Large	2019
Anton Betten	College-at-Large	2019
TBD	College-at-Large	2019
Brad Conner	College-at-Large	2018
Alan Van Orden	College-at-Large	2021
Maii van Ofucii	Conege-at-Large	2020
Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical S	Sciences	
DN Rao Veeramachaneni	Biomedical Sciences	2019
Dean Hendrickson	Clinical Sciences	2019
		= =

Page 19 - Faculty Council Meeting Minutes October 2, 2018

Elizabeth Ryan	Environmental & Radiological Health Sciences	2020
Tony Schountz	Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology	2021
Noreen Reist	College-at-Large	2020
Jennifer Peel	College-at-Large	2020
William Black	College-at-Large	2020
Marie Legare	College-at-Large	2019
Anne Avery	College-at-Large	2019
<u>Tod Clapp</u>	College-at-Large	2019
Dawn Duval	College-at-Large	2019
TBD	College-at-Large	2018
Gerrit (Jerry) Bouma	College-at-Large	2021
TBD	College-at-Large	2018
University Libraries		
Linda Meyer	Libraries	2019
Ex Officio Voting Members		
Timothy Gallagher	Chair, Faculty Council/Executive Committee	2018
Sue Doe	Vice Chair, Faculty Council	2018
Margarita Lenk	BOG Faculty Representative	2018
Don Estep, Chair	Committee on Faculty Governance	2019
Todd Donavan, Chair	Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics	2017
Nancy Hunter, Chair	Committee on Libraries	2019
Jenny Morse, Chair	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2020
Marie Legare, Chair	Committee on Responsibilities & Standing of	
	Academic Faculty	2018
Donald Samelson, Chair	Committee on Scholarship Research and Graduate	
	Education	2019
Karen Barrett, Chair	Committee on Scholastic Standards	2019
Joseph DiVerdi, Chair	Committee on Strategic and Financial Planning	2019
Matt Hickey, Chair	Committee on Teaching and Learning	2019
Mo Salman, Chair	Committee on University Programs	2018
Bradley Goetz, Chair	University Curriculum Committee	2018
Susan (Suellen) Melzer	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2021
Denise Apodaca	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2021
Christine Pawliuk	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2019
Patty Stutz-Tanenbaum	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2019
Pinar Omur-Ozbek	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2020
(substituting for Daniel Baker)		2010
Leslie Stone-Roy	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2019
Mary Van Buren	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2020
Steve Benoit	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2019
Natalie Ooi	Committee on Non-Tenure Track Faculty	2019

Page 20 - Faculty Council Meeting Minutes

October 2, 2018

Ex-Officio Non-Voting Members

Anthony Frank President

Rick Miranda Provost/Executive Vice President
Brett Anderson Special Advisor to the President
Kim Tobin Vice President for Advancement
Mary Ontiveros Vice President for Diversity

Louis Swanson Vice Provost for Engagement/Director of Extension

Leslie Taylor Vice President for Enrollment and Access

Dan Bush Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs

Patrick Burns Vice President for Information Technology/Dean Libraries

Jim Cooney Vice Provost for International Affairs Tom Milligan Vice President for Public Affairs Alan Rudolph Vice President for Research Blanche M. Hughes Vice President for Student Affairs Kelly Long Vice Provost for Undergraduate Affairs Lynn Johnson Vice President for University Operations Ajay Menon Dean, College of Agricultural Sciences Jeff McCubbin Dean, College of Health and Human Sciences

Beth Walker Dean, College of Business
David McLean Dean, College of Engineering
Jodie Hanzlik Dean, Graduate School
Ben Withers Dean, College of Liberal Arts
Jan Nerger Dean, College of Natural Sciences

Mark Stetter Dean, College of Vet. Medicine & Biomedical Sciences

John Hayes Dean, Warner College of Natural Resources Shannon Wagner Chair, Administrative Professional Council